Shari Amster (SA4936) 265 S. 21st Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

Tel.: 267.475.7401

samster@amsterlaw.net

Marc Durant – *pro hac vice* James Mulcahy – *pro hac vice* Kevin A. Adams – *pro hac vice*

Attorneys for Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

MAXLITE, INC., a New Jersey corporation, f/k/a SK AMERICA, INC., | d/b/a/ MAXLITE, |

Plaintiff,

V.

ATG ELECTRONICS, INC., JAMES D. STEEDLY, SOPHIA C. GALLEHER, and MATTHEW KIM,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:15-CV-01116-SDW-SCM

DEFENDANT MATTHEW KIM'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES NOW COMES Defendant Matthew Kim, by and through his attorneys, Shari Amster, Marc Durant, James M. Mulcahy and Kevin Adams, hereby responds to the Verified Complaint for Specific Performance filed by Plaintiff MaxLite, Inc. as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations of this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 2. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 3. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
 - 4. Defendant admits the allegations contained in this paragraph.
 - 5. Defendant admits the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 6. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
 - 7. Defendant admits the allegations contained in this paragraph.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 8. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph. To the extent this paragraph states legal conclusions, no response is required.
- 9. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph. To the extent this paragraph states legal conclusions, no response is required.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

I. MAXLITE

- 10. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations of this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 11. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations of this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 12. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations of this paragraph and therefore denies same.

- 13. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations of this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 14. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations of this paragraph and therefore denies same.

II. STEEDLY

- 15. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 16. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 17. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 18. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 19. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 20. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 21. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 22. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 23. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 24. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 25. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.

- 26. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 27. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 28. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 29. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 30. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 31. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 32. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 33. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
 - 34. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 35. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 36. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 37. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 38. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 39. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.

III. GALLEHER

- 40. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 41. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 42. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 43. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 44. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 45. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 46. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 47. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 48. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 49. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 50. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 51. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 52. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 53. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.

- 54. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 55. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 56. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
 - 57. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 58. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 59. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 60. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.

IV. KIM

- 61. Defendant admits the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 62. Defendant admits that he worked at his home, located at 2255 Berkeley Cove, Duluth, Georgia 30096 and that he visited MaxLite's headquarters in New Jersey a few times. Defendant denies all remaining allegations in this paragraph.
 - 63. Defendant admits the allegations contained in this paragraph.
 - 64. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 65. Defendant admits his employment was conditioned upon his entering into a written Proprietary Information Agreement, dated July 5, 2013. Defendant denies all remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 66. Defendant admits the parties entered into the Kim Agreement. The Kim Agreement speaks for itself.
 - 67. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 68. Defendant admits he gave notice of his intention to leave his employment with Plaintiff. Defendant denies all remaining allegations in this paragraph.

- 69. Defendant admits he commenced employment with AGT on or about January 5,
- 2015. Defendant denies all remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 70. Defendant admits his new position is with ATG involves sales for national accounts. Defendant denies all remaining allegations in this paragraph.
 - 71. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 72. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 73. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 74. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 75. Defendant admits he returned Plaintiff's computer and cell phone. Defendant denies all remaining allegations in this paragraph.
 - 76. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 77. Defendant admits the allegations contained in this paragraph.
 - 78. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.

V. ATG

- 79. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
 - 80. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 81. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 82. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 83. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 84. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 85. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 86. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 87. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
 - 88. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 89. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.

90. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.

COUNT ONE

Breach of Contract

- 91. Defendant realleges its responses to paragraphs 1 through 90 above in response to this paragraph.
 - 92. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 93. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.

COUNT TWO

Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing

- 94. Defendant realleges its responses to paragraphs 1 through 93 above in response to this paragraph.
- 95. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph. To the extent this paragraph states legal conclusions, no response is required.
 - 96. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.

COUNT THREE

Conversion and Misappropriation

- 97. Defendant realleges its responses to paragraphs 1 through 96 above in response to this paragraph.
 - 98. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 99. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 100. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 101. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.

COUNT FOUR

Unfair Competition/Breach of the Duty of Loyalty

102. Defendant realleges its responses to paragraphs 1 through 101 above in response to this paragraph.

- 103. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph. To the extent this paragraph states legal conclusions, no response is required.
- 104. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 105. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph. To the extent this paragraph states legal conclusions, no response is required.
 - 106. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 107. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 108. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.

COUNT FIVE

Tortious Interference of Contractual Relationships

- 109. Defendant realleges its responses to paragraphs 1 through 108 above in response to this paragraph.
- 110. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph. To the extent this paragraph states legal conclusions, no response is required.
 - 111. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 112. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 113. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 114. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.

COUNT SIX

Tortious Interference of Present and Prospective Business Advantage

- 115. Defendant realleges its responses to paragraphs 1 through 114 above in response to this paragraph.
- 116. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations of this paragraph and therefore denies same.
 - 117. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 118. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 119. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.

COUNT SEVEN

Civil Conspiracy

- 120. Defendant realleges its responses to paragraphs 1 through 119 above in response to this paragraph.
- 121. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph. To the extent this paragraph states legal conclusions, no response is required.
 - 122. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 123. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 124. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 125. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.

COUNT SEVEN

Unjust Enrichment

- 126. Defendant realleges its responses to paragraphs 1 through 125 above in response to this paragraph.
- 127. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 128. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 129. These allegations are not made against Defendant. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations in this paragraph and therefore denies same.
- 130. Defendant denies any and all factual allegations of the Complaint not expressly admitted herein. Defendant denies any allegation not specifically admitted and demands strict proof herein. Defendant further denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any and all of the relief requested. Defendant respectfully requests that Plaintiff's request for judgment and any damages be denied, Defendant be awarded attorneys' fees and fees incurred in defending Plaintiff's Complaint, and Defendant be granted such other relief as the Court deems just and appropriate.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Defendant hereby asserts the following affirmative defenses without prejudice to his right to modify or withdraw any defense and/or assert additional defenses subject to information obtained through the formal discovery process in this case or as information becomes available.

First affirmative defense

(Failure to State a Claim)

The Complaint, and each and every such claim for relief, fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action upon which relief can be granted.

Second affirmative defense

(Forum Non Conveniens)

This action is barred by forum non conveniens, comity, and/or international abstention.

Third affirmative defense

(Lacks Personal Jurisdiction)

The Court lacks personal jurisdiction over Defendant.

Fourth affirmative defense

(Laches)

This action is barred by laches as Plaintiff unreasonably delayed in pursuing this action, an action which intends to terminate Defendant's employ, till months after Defendant left his position with MaxLite to go to ATG.

Fifth affirmative defense

(Waiver)

Plaintiff's claims in regard to enforcing the employment contract are waived as Plaintiff did not commit any actions consistent with enforcing these rights when Defendant left Plaintiff's employ.

Sixth affirmative defense

(Estoppel)

Plaintiff's claims in regard to enforcing the employment contract are estopped as

Plaintiff did not commit any actions consistent with enforcing these rights when Defendant left Plaintiff's employ.

Seventh affirmative defense

(Unjust Enrichment)

Plaintiff's claim to obtain wages paid would unjustly enrich Plaintiff as Plaintiff already received labor and other benefits through its employment of Defendant.

Eighth affirmative defense

(Illegality)

Plaintiff's claims are barred by California Business and Professions Code § 16600 which provides that "every contract by which anyone is restrained from engaging in a lawful profession, trade, or business of any kind is to that extent void."

Ninth affirmative defense

(Unenforceable)

Plaintiff's claims based on post-employment restraints are barred as such restraints are unenforceable under New Jersey and Georgia law. New Jersey law only finds restrictive covenants enforceable where reasonable in light of the totality of the circumstances. *Nat'l Reprographics, Inc. v. Strom*, 621 F. Supp. 2d 204, 222 (D.N.J. 2008). As the restrictions here are not necessary to protect Plaintiff's interests and would cause undue hardship to Defendant, they are unenforceable. *A.T. Hudson & Co. v. Donovan*, 216 N.J. Super. 426, 432, 524 A.2d 412 (App. Div. 1987).

Restrictions on trade that are "too broad and indefinite" are also unenforceable under Georgia law. *National Teen-Ager Co. v. Scarborough*, 254 Ga. 467, 469 (Ga. 1985). As the restrictions would prohibit Defendant from working in any capacity for a competitor anywhere in the world, the requested relief is not permitted under Georgia law. *See, e.g., Lowe Elec. Supply Co. v. Rexel, Inc.*, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 155280, *22 (M.D. Ga. 2014)

Tenth affirmative defense

(Mootness)

Plaintiff's claims are moot as Defendant commenced employment with ATG in January 2015 and has been so employed ever since.

Eleventh affirmative defense

(Failure to Mitigate)

Plaintiff has failed to mitigate or exercise reasonable care and diligence to avoid loss and minimize damages, and therefore, may not recover losses that could have been prevented by reasonable efforts on Plaintiff's part or by expenditures that might reasonably have been made.

Twelfth affirmative defense

(Consent)

Plaintiff's claims are barred from recovery by virtue of its consent to the alleged conduct of Defendant and others.

Thirteenth affirmative defense

(Assumption of Risk)

Plaintiff expressly, voluntarily and knowingly assumed all risks about which it now complains, and, therefore, Plaintiff's claims and damages, if any, are barred or reduced to the extent of said assumption of risk.

Fourteenth affirmative defense

(Uncertainty)

The Complaint does not describe the claims against Defendant with sufficient particularity and certainty to enable Defendant to determine what its defenses may be. Defendant reserves the right to assert all defenses that may be pertinent to or arise from Plaintiff's claims against it when their precise nature is ascertained.

Fifteenth affirmative defense

(Adequate Legal Remedy)

Plaintiff's claims are barred for equitable relief because Plaintiff has a complete and adequate remedy at law and is not entitled to seek equitable relief.

Dated: May 20, 2015

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/ Shari Amster
Shari Amster

Of Counsel:

Marc Durant, Esquire
Durant & Durant, LLC
325 Chestnut Street
Suite 1109
Philadelphia, PA 19106
mdurant@durantlaw.com
215.592.1818

James Mulcahy, Esquire Kevin A. Adams, Esquire Mulcahy LLP 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1230 Irvine, CA 92614 Telephone: (949) 252-9377 jmulcahy@mulcahyllp.com kadams@mulcahyllp.com